arXiv:1512.05114v1 [math.DG] 16 Dec 2015

G_2-ORBIFOLDS FROM K3 SURFACES WITH ADE-SINGULARITIES

FRANK REIDEGELD

Abstract. We construct compact G_2-orbifolds with ADE-singularities that carry exactly one parallel spinor. Our examples are related to certain quotients of $\mathbb{C}^2 \times T^3$ that have been investigated in [1]. We shortly discuss the physical applications of our examples.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. G_2-orbifolds and their applications in physics 3
3. Flat examples of G_2-orbifolds 6
4. The K3 moduli space 9
5. Compact examples of G_2-orbifolds 15
References 19

1. Introduction

In recent years, Riemannian manifolds with holonomy G_2 have attracted considerable attention. They are studied for purely mathematical reasons and as compactifications of M-theory. Usually, a G_2-manifold is defined as a smooth manifold. Nevertheless, it turns out that G_2-orbifolds with ADE-singularities along associative submanifolds are interesting objects, too. It is assumed that they arise as boundary components of the moduli space of smooth G_2-structures on a fixed manifold [8, 9]. Moreover, M-theory compactified on a G_2-orbifold with ADE-singularities yields a super Yang-Mills theory with non-abelian gauge group in the low-energy limit [1, 2].

Explicit examples of such orbifolds with holonomy G_2 are hard to construct and one-parameter families of smooth G_2-manifolds that converge to a G_2-orbifold with ADE-singularities even more. A more approachable problem is to search for orbifolds with holonomy $\mathrm{Hol}_0 \rtimes \Delta$ where

$\mathrm{Hol}_0 \in \{1, Sp(1), SU(3)\}$ is the identity component of the holonomy and Δ is a discrete group such that $\mathrm{Hol}_0 \rtimes \Delta$ acts irreducibly on the tangent space. For example, the case $\mathrm{Hol}_0 = SU(3)$, $\Delta = \mathbb{Z}_2$ yields so called barely G_2-manifolds. If we search for orbifolds with a holonomy group of this kind, our problem becomes much simpler since we can use arguments from complex geometry. Moreover, such orbifolds share many features with orbifolds with holonomy G_2, for example they admit exactly one parallel spinor. This makes them suitable candidates for compactifications of M-theory that provide four-dimensional field theories with $\mathcal{N} = 1$.

In the literature [1], there are examples of flat G_2-orbifolds with ADE-singularities which can serve as local models for more generic G_2-orbifolds. More explicitly, let Γ be a discrete subgroup of $SU(2)$. The quotient $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ carries a hyper-Kähler structure that is defined by the flat Hermititan metric and the three linearly independent self-dual 2-forms on $\mathbb{C}^2$. The product $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma \times T^3$, where T^3 is the three-dimensional flat torus, is divided by a discrete group H. H acts on both factors separately and preserves the hyper-Kähler structure on $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$. Therefore, the quotient carries a G_2-structure.

The aim of this article is to use the examples from [1] to construct compact G_2-orbifolds with holonomy of type $Sp(1) \rtimes \Delta$. In order to do this, we replace $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ by a K3 surface S with singularities that carries a hyper-Kähler metric. H shall act on $S \times T^3$ such that

(1) H acts on S by isometries,
(2) the three-dimensional representation of H that is induced by the action of H by pull-backs on the space of all Kähler forms is the same as in [1] and
(3) the action of H on T^3 is the same as in [1].

We prove that there exists a class of examples with the desired properties. In the most singular case, S has two singularities of type E_8, but there are also many examples with milder singularities that will be described in the course of the article. We shortly discuss the low-energy limit of M-theory compactified on our G_2-orbifolds. The bosonic part of the four-dimensional field theory contains a Yang-Mills theory with gauge group $U(1)^{16-\mathrm{rank}(G)} \times G$ where the group G is determined by the singularities.

Although our model is to simplistic to describe the standard model of particle physics - it does not contain chiral fermions -, it has several interesting features. Since K3 surfaces are extremely well studied, it should be possible to determine further physical quantities, e.g. coupling constants, the superpotential etc., more or less explicitly. Moreover, our G_2-orbifolds are naturally fibered by coassociative K3 surfaces. This fact could make them interesting for studying the duality between M-theory and heterotic string theory.

2. G_2-orbifolds and their applications in physics

In this section, we introduce some facts about G_2-manifolds and -orbifolds that we need to describe the examples of [1] in detail.

Definition 2.1. A 3-form ϕ on a 7-dimensional manifold M is called a *G_2-structure* if for all $p \in M$ there exists a local coframe $e^1, \dots, e^7$ on a neighborhood U of p such that

$$\phi|_U = e^{123} + e^{145} + e^{167} + e^{246} - e^{257} - e^{347} - e^{356}\,, \tag{1}$$

where e^{ijk} is defined as $e^i \wedge e^j \wedge e^k$.

Remark 2.2. The differential form (1) has G_2 as stabilizer group. $GL(7,\mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on the set of all forms on a 7-dimensional vector space with stabilizer G_2. Therefore, we could have defined a G_2-structure as a 3-form that is stabilized by G_2 at each point.

On any manifold with a G_2-structure ϕ there exists a canonical metric g which is determined by the following formula:

$$g(X,Y)e^{1234567} = \frac{1}{6}(X \lrcorner \phi) \wedge (Y \lrcorner \phi) \wedge \phi \tag{2}$$

Definition 2.3. A G_2-structure ϕ is called *parallel* if $d\phi = d * \phi = 0$, where the Hodge-star $*$ is with respect to the canonical metric and the volume form that is locally given by $e^{1234567}$. A 7-dimensional manifold together with a parallel G_2-structure is called a *G_2-manifold*.

Lemma 2.4. *Let (M,ϕ) be a G_2-manifold and let g be the metric on M that is defined by Equation (2). Then*

- *ϕ is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection.*
- *The holonomy of g is a subgroup of G_2.*
- *g is Ricci-flat.*

A G_2-manifold (M,ϕ) may carry 1, 2, 4 or 8 parallel spinors. In the last three cases, M is the product of a circle with a Calabi-Yau threefold, the product of a torus with a K3 surface or a 7-dimensional torus. M-theory on $\mathbb{R}^{3,1} \times M$ thus yields as its low-energy limit a four-dimensional field theory with $\mathcal{N} = 2$, 4, or 8. If (M,ϕ) carries only one parallel spinor, the holonomy is either G_2, $SU(3) \rtimes \Delta$ or $Sp(1) \rtimes \Delta$, where Δ is a discrete group such that the holonomy group acts irreducibly on the tangent space. In the last two of these cases, M is a suitable quotient of a G_2-manifold with 2 or 4 parallel spinors.

In the rest of this section, let (M, ϕ) be a G_2-manifold with exactly one parallel spinor. We consider M-theory on $\mathbb{R}^{3,1} \times M$. In the low-energy limit we obtain a four-dimensional theory with $\mathcal{N} = 1$. Its field content consists of $b^3(M)$ chiral multiplets, $b^2(M)$ abelian vector multiplets and the graviton multiplet. In particular, the behaviour of the particles with spin 1 is described by a Yang-Mills theory with gauge group $U(1)^{b^2(M)}$. Therefore, we cannot obtain a supersymmetric extension of the standard model of particle physics by this ansatz. Nevertheless, it is possible to obtain field theories with non-abelian gauge groups if we allow M to be a G_2-orbifold. We need a particular kind of singularities that we describe below.

Theorem 2.5. *(Felix Klein [5]) Let Γ be a finite subgroup of $SU(2)$ and let $\tau : SU(2) \to SO(3)$ be the usual double cover. Then Γ is conjugate either to a cyclic group that is generated by*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i}{n}\right) \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

or it is up to conjugation the preimage of the dihedral, tetrahedal, octahedral or icosahedral subgroup of $SO(3)$ with respect to τ.

Remark 2.6.
(1) In fact, Felix Klein classified the finite subgroups of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. Since for any finite $\Gamma \subset SU(2)$ there exists a Γ-invariant Hermitian form on $\mathbb{C}^2$, both problems are equivalent.
(2) The finite subgroups of $SU(2)$ are often denoted as follows.
- The cyclic group with $n + 1$ elements is A_n.
- The preimage of the embedding of the dihedral group with $2n-4$ elements into $SO(3)$ is D_n.
- The preimage of the tetrahedral group is E_6.
- The preimage of the octahedral group is E_7.
- The preimage of the icosahedral group is E_8.

These are the same names as of the simply laced Dynkin diagrams. There is in fact a mathematical connection between the finite subgroups of $SU(2)$ and the Dynkin diagrams that is known as the McKay correspondence [7]. Let $\Gamma \subset SU(2)$ be finite. The quotient $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ is a singular algebraic variety. A singularity of this kind is called *du Val singularity* or *ADE-singularity*. It is worth mentioning that the resolution graph of an ADE-singularity is precisely the Dynkin diagram that corresponds to Γ. We can extend our definition to singularities of complex orbifolds.

Definition 2.7. An n-dimensional complex orbifold M has an *ADE-singularity of type* Γ along a complex $(n-2)$-dimensional submanifold N if for all $p \in N$ there exists a neighborhood of p that can be biholomorphically identified with an open neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$.

The definition of a G_2-orbifold with ADE-singularities is a bit more complicated since G_2-orbifolds have odd dimension and thus do not carry a complex structure. In order to properly define that term we need a further concept.

Definition 2.8. A 3-dimensional submanifold N of a G_2-manifold (M, ϕ) is called *associative* if the restriction of ϕ to N is the same as the volume form of N.

Let p be a point on an associative submanifold N. The tangent space T_pM splits into the tangent space of N and its normal space V_p. Any $g \in G_2$ that acts as the identity on T_pN leaves V_p invariant. The subgroup of all those g is isomorphic to $SU(2)$ and it acts by its 2-dimensional complex representation on V_p. Therefore, $T_pN \times V_p/\Gamma$ where $\Gamma \subset SU(2)$ is finite carries a well-defined 3-form with stabilizer G_2. This motivates the following definition.

Definition 2.9.
(1) Let M be a 7-dimensional orbifold and let ϕ be a smooth 3-form on M. We assume that ϕ_p is stabilized by G_2 if p is a smooth point of M. If p is not smooth, T_pM is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^7/\Gamma$ where $\Gamma \subset GL(7, \mathbb{R})$ is finite. Let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^7 \to T_pM$ be the quotient map. We assume that $\pi^*\phi_p$ is stabilized by G_2, too. If additionally ϕ is closed and coclosed, we call (M, ϕ) a *G_2-orbifold*.
(2) Let (M, ϕ) be a G_2-orbifold, Γ be a finite subgroup of $SU(2)$ and let N be an associative submanifold of M. We identify $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ canonically with $\mathbb{R}^7$. Let ϕ_0 be a 3-form on $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^3$ whose pull-back to $\mathbb{R}^7$ with respect to the quotient map is stabilized by G_2. We assume that for any $p \in N$ there exists a neighborhood U with $p \in U \subset M$ and a coordinate system $f : U \to V \subset \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^3$ with $f(p) = 0$ and $f^*\phi_0 = \phi_p$. In this situation, we say that (M, ϕ) *has an ADE-singularity of type* Γ *along* N.

We describe very briefly the role of G_2-orbifolds in M-theory. For a more detailed account we refer the reader to [1, 2, 8, 9]. It is believed that M-theory is well-defined on 11-dimensional spacetimes with ADE-singularities. Before we consider compactifications on G_2-manifolds with ADE-singularities, we take a look at M-theory on $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^{6,1}$. Let G be the compact simple Lie group whose Dynkin diagram has the same name as Γ. If we neglect gravity, the physics on $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{6,1}$ is described by a super Yang-Mills theory with gauge group G in the low-energy limit. For each Γ there exists a one-parameter family of smooth hyper-Kähler metrics $(g_t)_{t\in(0,\infty)}$ on an underlying manifold X such that any g_t is asymptotic to $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ with the flat metric and (X, g_t) converges for $t \to 0$ to $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ in the Gromov-Hausdorff limit [6]. M-theory on $(X, g_t) \times \mathbb{R}^{6,1}$ yields a super Yang-Mills theory with gauge group $U(1)^{\mathrm{rank}(G)}$.

Next, let M be a G_2-manifold with an ADE-singularity of type Γ along an associative submanifold N. The simplest case is that $b^1(N) = 0$ and that

the singularity has no monodromy, i.e. there exists a tubular neighborhood of N that is diffeomorphic to $U/\Gamma \times N$ where U is an open disc in $\mathbb{C}^2$. In this situation, a subgroup $U(1)^{\mathrm{rank}(G)}$ of the gauge group gets enhanced to G. If the monodromy is non-trivial, it may act as an outer automorphism on Γ. This automorphism induces a symmetry transformation of the Dynkin diagram of G and thus an outer automorphism τ of G. Instead of a Yang-Mills theory with gauge group G we have the subgroup of all fixed points of τ as gauge group. If $b^1(N) > 0$, the gauge group is left unchanged but there are $b^1(N)$ additional chiral multiplets in the massless spectrum.

The reason why we have $b^3(M)$ chiral multiplets in the smooth case is that the moduli space of a compact G_2-manifold has dimension $b^3(M)$ [4]. An analogous result for G_2-orbifolds is not yet proven. Therefore, it is not entirely clear if we obtain $b^3(M)$ chiral multiplets if M is a G_2-orbifold. Nevertheless, we will compute the third Betti number of our examples since it is an important topological invariant.

3. Flat examples of G_2-orbifolds

In this section, we describe the G_2-orbifolds from [1] in detail. Before we start, we recall the definition of a hyper-Kähler manifold.

Definition 3.1. A *hyper-Kähler manifold* is a $4n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) together with three linearly independent integrable complex structures I^1, I^2 and I^3 such that

(1) the complex structures satisfy the quaternion multiplication relation $I^1 I^2 I^3 = -\mathrm{Id}$ and
(2) the 2-forms ω^1, ω^2 and ω^3 defined by $\omega^i(X, Y) = g(I^i(X), Y)$ are Kähler forms.

The data $(g, \omega^1, \omega^2, \omega^3)$ on M are called the *hyper-Kähler structure*.

Remark 3.2. (1) The holonomy of the metric on a $4n$-dimensional hyper-Kähler manifold is a subgroup of $Sp(n)$. If $n = 1$ and M is compact, it is either a four-dimensional torus or a K3 surface.
(2) The set of all parallel complex structures on a hyper-Kähler manifold is a sphere S^2.

Let $T^3 := \mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$ be the three-dimensional torus with coordinates x^1, x^2, x^3 and the flat metric $g_{ij} := \delta_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j$. Furthermore, let S be a four-dimensional hyper-Kähler manifold. The three Kähler-forms ω^1, ω^2 and ω^3 satisfy $\omega^i \wedge \omega^j = \delta^{ij} \mathrm{vol}_S$, where vol_S is the volume form of S. We define the following 3-form on $S \times T^3$:

$$\phi := \omega^1 \wedge dx^1 + \omega^2 \wedge dx^2 + \omega^3 \wedge dx^3 + dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \,. \tag{4}$$

ϕ is a parallel G_2-structure on $S \times T^3$. For any $p \in S$ the submanifold $\{p\} \times T^3$ is associative. The Hodge-dual of ϕ is

$$* \phi = \mathrm{vol}_S + \omega^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 + \omega^2 \wedge dx^3 \wedge dx^1 + \omega^3 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \tag{5}$$

We allow S to be an orbifold, too. Any singular point shall have a neighborhood that looks like an open set $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ where $0 \in U$ and Γ is a finite subgroup of $SU(2)$. We have to require Γ to be a subgroup of the holonomy group $Sp(1)$ in order to make the hyper-Kähler structure on S well-defined. Let H be a finite group that acts freely and isometrically on T^3. The action of any $h \in H$ can be written as

$$x\mathbb{Z}^3 \mapsto (A^h x + v^h)\mathbb{Z}^3 \tag{6}$$

where $v^h \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $A^h \in SO(3)$. We assume that the action of H can be extended to a free action on $S \times T^3$ such that the pull-back of h acts as

$$\omega^i \mapsto A^h_{ij} \omega^j \ . \tag{7}$$

If this is the case, H leaves ϕ invariant and the quotient $M := (S \times T^3)/H$ carries a well-defined G_2-structure. Since H acts freely, there are no singularities worse than the ADE-singularities of S. We assume that there is no one-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{R}^3$ that is invariant under the Euclidean motions $x \mapsto A^h x + v^h$. The holonomy is a group of type $Sp(1) \rtimes \Delta$, where Δ is a subgroup of H. Since the holonomy acts irreducibly on the tangent space, it is not a subgroup of $SU(3)$ although it is a subgroup of G_2. Therefore, M carries exactly one parallel spinor.

S is chosen in [1] as $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ together with the canonical flat $Sp(1)$-structure, where Γ is generated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i}{n}\right) \end{pmatrix} \tag{8}$$

In other words, we have a singularity of type A_{n-1}. We introduce the following maps $\alpha, \beta, \gamma : \mathbb{C}^2 \times T^3 \to \mathbb{C}^2 \times T^3$:

$$\alpha(z_1, z_2, x_1, x_2, x_3) := (\exp\left(\tfrac{2\pi i}{n}\right) z_1, \exp\left(-\tfrac{2\pi i}{n}\right) z_2, x_1, x_2, x_3) \tag{9}$$

$$\beta(z_1, z_2, x_1, x_2, x_3) := (-z_1, z_2, -x_1 + \tfrac{1}{2}, -x_2, x_3 + \tfrac{1}{2}) \tag{10}$$

$$\gamma(z_1, z_2, x_1, x_2, x_3) := (-\overline{z_1}, -\overline{z_2}, -x_1, x_2 + \tfrac{1}{2}, -x_3) \tag{11}$$

where z_1 and z_2 are the complex coordinates on $\mathbb{C}^2$ and we have abbreviated cosets of type $v\mathbb{Z}^3$ by v. α describes the action of Γ on $\mathbb{C}^2 \times T^3$. β and γ generate a group H_1 that is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Γ is a normal subgroup of the group that is generated by α, β and γ. The action of H_1 on $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma \times T^3$ is thus well-defined. By a straightforward calculation we see that the pull-backs β^* and γ^* act on a suitable basis $(\omega^1, \omega^2, \omega^3)$ of self-dual forms on $\mathbb{C}^2$ as described by equation (7). For all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\gamma \alpha^k \gamma^{-1} = \alpha^{-k} \,. \tag{12}$$

The singularities of $(\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma \times T^3)/H_1$ therefore have a non-trivial monodromy if $n \geq 2$. There is a second class of examples in [1]. α is as before but we divide by a group H_2 that is generated by the maps

$$\beta'(z_1, z_2, x_1, x_2, x_3) := (-z_1, z_2, -x_1, -x_2 + \tfrac{3}{4}, x_3 + \tfrac{1}{2}) \tag{13}$$

$$\eta(z_1, z_2, x_1, x_2, x_3) := (-i\overline{z_2}, i\overline{z_1}, x_1 + \tfrac{1}{4}, -x_2 + \tfrac{1}{4}, -x_3) \tag{14}$$

H_2 is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_4 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$. Again we can show that the action of H_2 on $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma \times T^3$ is well-defined and that the pull-backs of β' and η satisfy (7). Any element of H_2 commutes with α and the singularities of the quotient thus have trivial monodromy.

As we will see below, we still obtain a well-defined action of H_1 and H_2 on $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma \times T^3$ if we replace Γ by a group of type D_k ($k \geq 4$) or E_k ($k \in \{6, 7, 8\}$). We restrict the generators of H_1 and H_2 to $\mathbb{C}^2$ and obtain maps $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ with

$$\tau_1(z_1, z_2) = (-z_1, z_2) \tag{15}$$

$$\tau_2(z_1, z_2) = (-\overline{z_1}, -\overline{z_2}) \tag{16}$$

$$\tau_3(z_1, z_2) = (-i\overline{z_2}, i\overline{z_1}) \tag{17}$$

Since we have

$$\tau_i SU(2) \tau_i^{-1} = SU(2) \tag{18}$$

for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, the action of H_1 and H_2 is indeed well-defined. The definition of any map β, γ, β' or η contains a shift by a non-integer, for example $x_1 \mapsto x_1 + \frac{1}{4}$ in the case of η. Therefore, the projection of the group action to T^3 does not have any fixed point. This ensures that the quotient does not have singularities apart from the ADE-singularity and that T^3/H_1 as well as T^3/H_2 are smooth manifolds.

Choosing S as $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ has the advantage that the group action as well as the hyper-Kähler structure on the quotient manifold M can be written down explicitly. The disadvantage is that M is not compact. Therefore, it would be nice to find compact examples which are in a certain sense similar to those from [1]. More precisely, we search for the following objects:

(1) a K3 surface S with ADE-singularities together with
(2) three complex structures I^1, I^2, I^3 and three Kähler forms ω^1, ω^2 and ω^3 which make S a hyper-Kähler manifold and
(3) isometries $\rho_1 : S \to S$, $\rho_2 : S \to S$ with $\rho_1^2 = \rho_2^2 = \mathrm{Id}$

such that either

$$\begin{array}{lll} \rho_1^*\omega^1 = -\omega^1 & \rho_1^*\omega^2 = -\omega^2 & \rho_1^*\omega^3 = \omega^3 \\ \rho_2^*\omega^1 = -\omega^1 & \rho_2^*\omega^2 = \omega^2 & \rho_2^*\omega^3 = -\omega^3 \end{array} \tag{19}$$

or

$$\begin{array}{lll} \rho_1^*\omega^1 = -\omega^1 & \rho_1^*\omega^2 = -\omega^2 & \rho_1^*\omega^3 = \omega^3 \\ \rho_2^*\omega^1 = \omega^1 & \rho_2^*\omega^2 = -\omega^2 & \rho_2^*\omega^3 = -\omega^3 \end{array} \tag{20}$$

If we find such isometries, we can redefine β as

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \beta : S \times T^3 & \to & S \times T^3 \\ \beta(p, x_1, x_2, x_3) & := & (\rho_1(p), -x_1 + \frac{1}{2}, -x_2, x_3 + \frac{1}{2}) \end{array} \tag{21}$$

where ρ_1 shall satisfy (19). The other maps γ, β' and η can be redefined analogously and we obtain an action of H_1 or H_2 on $S \times T^3$. The quotients $(S \times T^3)/H_1$ and $(S \times T^3)/H_2$ are compact G_2-orbifolds with ADE-singularities. Their holonomy group is in both cases $Sp(1) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2^2$.

4. The K3 moduli space

Before we prove the existence of the involutions ρ_1 and ρ_2 from the previous section, we need some facts about K3 surfaces and their moduli space. The results of this section are well-known. We refer the reader to [3, Chapter VIII], [4, Chapter 7.3] and references therein for a more detailed account.

Definition 4.1. A *K3 surface* is a compact, simply connected, complex surface with trivial canonical bundle.

Remark 4.2. At the moment, we require a K3 surface to be smooth. Later on, we include K3 surfaces with ADE-singularities into our considerations.

All K3 surfaces are deformations of each other. The diffeomorphism type of the underlying four-dimensional real manifold is therefore unique. In particular, their cohomology ring is fixed, too.

Theorem 4.3. *Let S be a K3 surface.*

(1) *The Hodge numbers of S are determined by $h^{0,0}(S) = h^{2,0}(S) = 1$, $h^{1,0}(S) = 0$, and $h^{1,1} = 20$.*
(2) *The second integer cohomology $H^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$ together with the intersection form is a lattice that is isomorphic to*

$$L := 3H \oplus 2(-E_8)\,, \tag{22}$$

where H is the hyperbolic plane lattice with the bilinear form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{23}$$

and $-E_8$ is the root lattice of E_8 together with the negative of the usual bilinear form.

Definition 4.4. (1) The lattice L from the above theorem is called the *K3 lattice*.
(2) A K3 surface S together with a lattice isometry $\phi : H^2(S,\mathbb{Z}) \to L$ is called a *marked K3 surface*.
(3) Two marked K3 surfaces (S,ϕ) and (S',ϕ') are called *isomorphic* if there exists a biholomorphic map $f : S \to S'$ such that $\phi \circ f^* = \phi'$.
(4) The *moduli space of marked K3 surfaces* $\mathcal{M}_{K3}$ is the set of all marked K3 surfaces modulo isomorphisms.

Since the canonical bundle of S is trivial, there exists a global holomorphic $(2,0)$-form on S. We denote it by $\omega^2 + i\omega^3$, where ω^2 and ω^3 are real 2-forms. We will see later that ω^2 and ω^3 are the same objects as in Section 3, in other words they are Kähler forms for appropriate complex structures on S. We denote the intersection form by a dot. It is easily seen that

$$[\omega^2 + i\omega^3] \cdot [\omega^2 + i\omega^3] = 0\,, \qquad [\omega^2 + i\omega^3] \cdot [\omega^2 - i\omega^3] > 0\,, \tag{24}$$

where $[\eta] \in H^2(S,\mathbb{C})$ denotes the cohomology class of a 2-form η. Let $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}\}$, $L_{\mathbb{K}} := L \otimes \mathbb{K}$ and $\phi_{\mathbb{K}} : H^2(S,\mathbb{K}) \to L_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the $\mathbb{K}$-linear extension of a marking ϕ. Our considerations motivate the following definition.

Definition 4.5. (1) We denote the complex line that is spanned by $x \in L_{\mathbb{C}}$ by ℓ_x. The set

$$\Omega := \{\ell_x \in \mathbb{P}(L_{\mathbb{C}}) | x \cdot x = 0,\ x \cdot \overline{x} > 0\} \tag{25}$$

is called the *period domain*.

(2) Let (S, ϕ) be a marked K3 surface. The complex line spanned by $\phi_{\mathbb{C}}([\omega^2 + i\omega^3])$, where $[\omega^2 + i\omega^3]$ is the cohomology class of the $(2,0)$-form, defines a point $p(S,\phi) \in \Omega$ called the *period point*. This assignment defines a map $p : \mathcal{M}_{K3} \to \Omega$, called the *period map*.

In order to describe $\mathcal{M}_{K3}$ some further definitions are necessary.

Definition 4.6. (1) Let S and S' be K3 surfaces. A lattice isometry $\psi : H^2(S,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(S',\mathbb{Z})$ is called a *Hodge-isometry* if its $\mathbb{C}$-linear extension preserves the Hodge decomposition $H^2(S,\mathbb{C}) = H^{2,0}(S) \oplus H^{1,1}(S) \oplus H^{0,2}(S)$.

(2) A class $x \in H^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$ is called *effective* if there exists an effective divisor D with $c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = x$.

(3) The connected component of the set $\{x \in H^{1,1}(S) | x \cdot x > 0\}$ which contains a Kähler class is called the *positive cone* of S.

(4) A Hodge-isometry $\psi : H^2(S,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(S',\mathbb{Z})$ is called *effective* if it maps the positive cone of S to the positive cone of S' and effective classes in $H^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$ to effective classes in $H^2(S',\mathbb{Z})$.

Remark 4.7. The restriction of the intersection form to $H^{1,1}(S)$ has signature $(1,19)$. The set $\{x \in H^{1,1}(S) | x \cdot x > 0\}$ thus has exactly two connected components. It is known that any K3 surface is Kähler. Therefore exactly one of the connected components contains Kähler classes and the definition of the positive cone makes sense.

The following lemma fits into this context and will be helpful later on.

Lemma 4.8. *([3, p. 313]) Let S and S' be K3 surfaces and $\psi : H^2(S,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(S',\mathbb{Z})$ be a Hodge-isometry. If ψ maps at least one Kähler class of S to a Kähler class of S', then ψ is effective.*

With help of the terms that we have defined above we are able to state the following theorems.

Theorem 4.9. *(Torelli Theorem) Let S and S' be two unmarked K3 surfaces. If there exists an effective Hodge-isometry $\psi : H^2(S',\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$, ψ is the pull-back of a biholomorphic map $f : S \to S'$.*

Theorem 4.10. *The period map $p : \mathcal{M}_{K3} \to \Omega$ is surjective.*

These two theorems make an explicit description of $\mathcal{M}_{K3}$ possible. Since we do not need that description in this article, we refer the reader to [3, 4] for details. What is important is that $\mathcal{M}_{K3}$ is a smooth complex manifold of dimension 20. $\mathcal{M}_{K3}$ is not Hausdorff, but the moduli space that we are really interested in is.

Definition 4.11. (1) A *marked pair* is a pair of a marked K3 surface and a Kähler class on it. We usually write a marked pair as (S, ϕ, y) where (S, ϕ) is a marked K3 surface and $y \in H^{1,1}(S)$ is a Kähler class.

(2) Two marked pairs (S, ϕ, y) and (S', ϕ', y') are called *isomorphic* if there exists a biholomorphic map $f : S \to S'$ that satisfies $\phi \circ f^* = \phi'$ and $f^* y' = y$.

(3) The *moduli space of marked pairs* $\mathcal{M}^p_{K3}$ is the set of all marked pairs modulo isomorphisms.

We define the following two sets:

$$\begin{aligned} K\Omega &:= \{(\ell_x, y) \in \Omega \times L_{\mathbb{R}} | \mathrm{Re}(x) \cdot y = \mathrm{Im}(x) \cdot y = 0, y \cdot y > 0\} \\ (K\Omega)^0 &:= \{(\ell_x, y) \in K\Omega | y \cdot d \neq 0 \ \forall d \in L \text{ with } d^2 = -2, x \cdot d = 0\} \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

and the *refined period map*

$$p' : \mathcal{M}^p_{K3} \to \Omega \times L_{\mathbb{R}} \tag{27}$$

$$p'(S, \phi, y) := (p(S, \phi), \phi_{\mathbb{R}}(y)) \tag{28}$$

Theorem 4.12. *p' takes its values in $(K\Omega)^0$. Moreover, it is a bijection between $\mathcal{M}^p_{K3}$ and $(K\Omega)^0$. As a consequence, $\mathcal{M}^p_{K3}$ is a real analytic Hausdorff manifold of dimension 60.*

The following lemma shows why $\mathcal{M}^p_{K3}$ is the moduli space that we need.

Lemma 4.13. *Let M be the underlying real manifold of a K3 surface. There is a one-to-one correspondence between hyper-Kähler metrics on M together with a choice of a parallel complex structure and a marking on the one hand and marked pairs on the other hand.*

Proof. Let g be a hyper-Kähler metric on M. We choose one of the parallel complex structures on (M, g) and denote it by I. (M, I) is a K3 surface S. Furthermore, we choose an arbitrary marking ϕ. Let ω^I be the Kähler form on M that is skew-Hermitian with respect to g and I. $(S, \phi, [\omega^I])$ is a marked pair.

Conversely, let (S, ϕ, y) be a marked pair and I be the complex structure on S. The Calabi-Yau theorem guarantees that there exists a unique Kähler form $\omega \in y$ such that $g(X, Y) := \omega(X, I(Y))$ is a Ricci-flat Kähler metric. Since S is simply connected, the holonomy of g is $SU(2)$. $SU(2)$ is isomorphic to $Sp(1)$ and the metric g is actually hyper-Kähler. □

Again, let M be the underlying manifold of a K3 surface. The moduli space of all hyper-Kähler metrics on M together with a marking is diffeomorphic to

(29)
$$\Omega_{hyp} := \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in L^3_{\mathbb{R}} | x_1^2 = x_2^2 = x_3^2 > 0,\ x_1 \cdot x_2 = x_1 \cdot x_3 = x_2 \cdot x_3 = 0, \\ \nexists\, d \in L \text{ with } d^2 = -2 \text{ and } x_1 \cdot d = x_2 \cdot d = x_3 \cdot d = 0\}/SO(3)$$

where $SO(3)$ acts by rotations on the three-dimensional space that is spanned by x_1, x_2 and x_3, see for example [4, p. 161]. If we do not want to fix a marking, we divide this space by $\mathrm{Aut}(L)$, where $\mathrm{Aut}(L)$ is the automorphism group of the lattice L. The moduli space of K3 surfaces with a hyper-Kähler metric that may admit ADE-singularities can be described even simpler. We define

(30)
$$\Omega'_{hyp} := \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in L^3_{\mathbb{R}} | x_1^2 = x_2^2 = x_3^2 > 0\,, x_1 \cdot x_2 = x_1 \cdot x_3 = x_2 \cdot x_3 = 0\}/SO(3)$$

and the *hyper-Kähler period map*

$$p'_{hyp}(g, \phi) := (\phi_{\mathbb{R}}([\omega^1]), \phi_{\mathbb{R}}([\omega^2]), \phi_{\mathbb{R}}([\omega^3]))SO(3) \tag{31}$$

where g is a hyper-Kähler metric on M, ϕ is a marking, ω^i with $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ are the forms that define the hyper-Kähler structure and $SO(3)$ acts on the span of $\phi_{\mathbb{R}}([\omega^1])$, $\phi_{\mathbb{R}}([\omega^2])$ and $\phi_{\mathbb{R}}([\omega^3])$. p'_{hyp} is a diffeomorphism between the moduli space of marked hyper-Kähler metrics on M together with their degenerations to metrics with ADE-singularities and Ω'_{hyp}. Since the intersection form has signature $(3, 19)$, Ω'_{hyp} admits a natural action by $SO(3, 19)$. Moreover, it is diffeomorphic to the symmetric space

$$SO(3, 19)/(SO(3) \times SO(19))\,. \tag{32}$$

Let $\alpha := (x, y, z)SO(3) \in \Omega'_{hyp} \setminus \Omega_{hyp}$. We interpret the corresponding point in the moduli space geometrically, cf. [4, p. 161 - 162]. We define

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha := \{d \in L | d^2 = -2, x_1 \cdot d = x_2 \cdot d = x_3 \cdot d = 0\} \tag{33}$$

Any $d \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ can be identified with an element of the homology group $H_2(S)$. More precisely, the homology class contains a unique minimal 2-sphere with self-intersection number -2. Its area A can be calculated in terms of the intersection form. More precisely, we have $A^2 = \sum_{i=1}^3 (d \cdot [\omega^i])^2$. If we move

from a generic point in Ω'_{hyp} towards a point where $\mathcal{D}$ consists of a single element, the 2-sphere collapses to a point. In other words, we obtain a rational double point.

Let the cardinality of $\mathcal{D}$ be > 1. By joining $d_1, d_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ by $d_1 \cdot d_2$ edges, we obtain a graph G. G is the disjoint union of simply laced Dynkin diagrams. As we approach α, a set of 2-spheres whose intersection numbers are given by $d_i \cdot d_j$ collapses, which means that the Dynkin diagrams describe the type of the singularities. For example, if G consists of one Dynkin diagram of type E_8 and 8 isolated points, the singularities of the K3 surface are at 9 different points. At one of them we have a singularity of type E_8 and at the other ones we have rational double points.

Our aim is to construct K3 surfaces with a certain kind of automorphisms. This can be done with help of the following theorem.

Theorem 4.14. *Let S be a K3 surface (possibly with ADE-singularities) together with a hyper-Kähler metric g and Kähler forms ω^1, ω^2 and ω^3. Moreover, let $V \subset H^2(S,\mathbb{R})$ be the subspace that is spanned by $[\omega^1]$, $[\omega^2]$ and $[\omega^3]$.*

1. *Let $f : S \to S$ be an isometry of g. The pull-back $f^* : H^2(S,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$ is an isometry of the lattice $H^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$. Its $\mathbb{R}$-linear extension preserves V.*
2. *Let $\psi : H^2(S,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$ be a lattice isometry such that $\psi_{\mathbb{R}}(V) = V$. Moreover, $\psi_{\mathbb{C}}$ shall preserve the positive cone. Then there exists an isometry $f : S \to S$ such that $f^* = \psi$.*
3. *Let $f : S \to S$ be an isometry that acts as the identity on $H^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$. Then, f itself is the identity map. As a consequence, the isometry from (2) is unique.*

Proof. (1) f^* preserves the intersection form and thus is a lattice isometry. Moreover, f leaves the vector space of all parallel 2-forms invariant. Since this space is spanned by ω^1, ω^2 and ω^3, f^* preserves V.

(2) We split $H^2(S,\mathbb{C})$ into $\psi_{\mathbb{C}}(H^{2,0}(S))$, $\psi_{\mathbb{C}}(H^{0,2}(S))$ and the orthogonal complement of those two subspaces. This splitting is a Hodge-structure on S and ψ is a Hodge-isometry between S together with the original Hodge-structure and S with the new Hodge-structure.

There are two complex structures on S. One of them is induced by the period point $\ell_{\phi([\omega^2+i\omega^3])}$ and the other one by $\psi_{\mathbb{C}}(\ell_{\phi([\omega^2+i\omega^3])})$, where ϕ is a fixed making of S. Since $[\omega^1]$ is a Kähler class wit respect to the first complex structure, $\psi([\omega^1])$ is a Kähler class with respect to the second one. According to Lemma 4.8, ψ is an effective Hodge-isometry. It follows from the Torelli theorem - which

also holds for K3 surfaces with ADE-singularities - that there exists a map $f : S \to S$ that is biholomorphic with respect to the two complex structures.

There exists a unique η that is a $(1,1)$-form with respect to the second complex structure, determines a Ricci-flat Kähler metric and satisfies $[\eta] = (f^*)^{-1}[\omega^1]$. Since $(f^{-1})^*\omega^1$ has the same properties as η, we have $\eta = (f^{-1})^*\omega^1$. The second complex structure together with η determines a metric h on S that is hyper-Kähler with Kähler forms $f^*\omega^k$ for $k = 1,2,3$. We have $h = f^*g$ since there exists only one hyper-Kähler metric with Kähler forms $f^*\omega^k$. f^* acts as an element of $SO(3)$ on the space that is spanned by the complex structures I^k. Therefore, h and g have the same sphere of parallel integrable complex structures. It follows that $h = g$ and we finally have proven that $f^*g = g$.

(3) This follows from Proposition 11.3 in Chapter VIII in [3].

□

Remark 4.15. If we had omitted the condition that $\psi_{\mathbb{C}}$ preserves the positive cone, the above theorem would have been slightly more complicated. In that situation $\psi := -\mathrm{Id}_{H^2(S,\mathbb{Z})}$ satisfies all condition from the theorem. The isometry of $f : S \to S$ that we would obtain would be the identity map, but it would have to be interpreted as an antiholomorphic map between (S, I^1) and $(S, -I^1)$. The additional sign is necessary to map the Kähler form ω^1 to $-\omega^1$.

5. Compact examples of G_2-orbifolds

In order to construct our G_2-orbifolds, we need to find a K3 surface with a hyper-Kähler metric and two isometries ρ_1 and ρ_2 that satisfy either (19) or (20). A K3 surface with a hyper-Kähler structure is specified by 3 elements x_1, x_2 and x_3 of $L_{\mathbb{R}}$ that satisfy certain conditions. Since we want to distinguish the different summands of L, we write

$$L = H^1 \oplus H^2 \oplus H^3 \oplus (-E_8)^1 \oplus (-E_8)^2 \,. \tag{34}$$

We choose for each H^i a basis (v_1^i, v_2^i) such that the bilinear form on H^i has the standard form (23). Let $x_i := v_1^i + 2v_2^i$. It is easy to see that $x_i \cdot x_j = 4\delta_{ij}$. Therefore, $(x_1, x_2, x_3)SO(3) \in \Omega'_{hyp}$. It follows from Theorem 4.14 that in order to find ρ_1 and ρ_2 it suffices to find lattice isometries ψ_1 and ψ_2 preserving the positive cone such that either

$$\begin{array}{lll} \psi_1(x_1) = -x_1 & \psi_1(x_2) = -x_2 & \psi_1(x_3) = x_3 \\ \psi_2(x_1) = -x_1 & \psi_2(x_2) = x_2 & \psi_2(x_3) = -x_3 \end{array} \tag{35}$$

or

$$\begin{array}{lll} \psi_1(x_1) = -x_1 & \psi_1(x_2) = -x_2 & \psi_1(x_3) = x_3 \\ \psi_2(x_1) = x_1 & \psi_2(x_2) = -x_2 & \psi_2(x_3) = -x_3 \end{array} \tag{36}$$

The maps that act as the identity on $(-E_8)^1$ and $(-E_8)^2$ and as plus or minus the identity on the H^i are obviously lattice isometries. If we carefully look how the signs affect the complex structure I^1 and the form ω^1, we see that a map of this kind preserves the positive cone if and only if it is minus the identity on an even number of H^is. By choosing the signs appropriately, we see that ψ_1 and ψ_2 exist. The set (33) that describes the singularities of our K3 surface S is

$$\mathcal{D} := \{d \in (-E_8)^1 | d^2 = -2\} \cup \{d \in (-E_8)^2 | d^2 = -2\} \tag{37}$$

since the complement of x_i in H^i is spanned by $-v_1^i + 2v_2^i$ which has length -4. $\mathcal{D}$ is the disjoint union of two root systems of E_8 and S therefore has two singular points with E_8-singularities. We are also interested in finding K3 surfaces with milder singularities. This can be done by the following method. We replace x_1 by $v_1^1 + 2v_2^1 + u^1 + u^2$ where the u^is are vectors in $(-E_8)^i \otimes \mathbb{R}$. If the length of the u^i is sufficiently small, x_1 still has a positive length ℓ. By replacing x_2 by $\frac{\ell}{4} x_2$ and x_3 by $\frac{\ell}{4} x_3$, we obtain $x_1^2 = x_2^2 = x_3^2 = \ell$ and $x_1 \cdot x_2 = x_1 \cdot x_3 = x_2 \cdot x_3 = 0$. Therefore, we have $(x_1, x_2, x_3) SO(3) \in \Omega'_{hyp}$. The set $\mathcal{D}$ becomes

$$\underbrace{\{d \in (-E_8)^1 | d^2 = -2, d \cdot u_1 = 0\}}_{=:\mathcal{D}_1} \cup \underbrace{\{d \in (-E_8)^2 | d^2 = -2, d \cdot u_2 = 0\}}_{=:\mathcal{D}_2} \tag{38}$$

Let $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_8\}$ be a set of simple roots of E_8. We choose a proper subset of $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_8\}$ and complement it to a linearly independent family with seven elements by vectors in $(-E_8)^1 \otimes \mathbb{R}$ whose components are irrational. We define u_1 as a vector that is orthogonal to all elements of this family. $\mathcal{D}_1$ is a root system whose simple roots are our chosen subset. We obtain the Dynkin diagram of $\mathcal{D}_1$ by deleting a subset of nodes of E_8. If the Dynkin diagram of $\mathcal{D}_1$ is connected, it can be any element of the following set:

$$\{0, A_1, \ldots, A_7, D_4, D_5, D_6, D_7, E_6, E_7, E_8\} \,. \tag{39}$$

The cases where the Dynkin diagram is not connected are of course allowed, too. The vector u_2 can be defined analogously. Geometrically this procedure correspond to a partial crepant resolution of the E_8-singularities. All in all, we have constructed two families of G_2-orbifolds that have a wide range of different singularities. We call the quotients of $S \times T^3$ by the group that is generated by β and γ *of the first kind* and those by β' and η *of the second kind*.

Let H be the group by which we divide $S \times T^3$. H acts on both factors separately and our G_2-orbifold M is thus a fiber bundle over T^3/H with K3 fibers. The singular set N of M consists of a finite number of sections of that bundle. This number equals the number k of singular points of S. N is therefore diffeomorphic to the disjoint union of k copies of T^3/H. Our next step is to compute the invariants $b^2(M)$, $b^3(M)$ and $b^1(N)$ as well as the monodromies of the singularities since they are relevant for the physics of M-theory compactified on M.

The de Rham cohomology $H^2(M)$ is isomorphic to the H-invariant part of $H^2(S \times T^3)$. The Künneth formula yields

$$H^2(S\times T^3) = \big(H^2(S) \otimes H^0(T^3)\big) \oplus \big(H^1(S) \otimes H^1(T^3)\big) \oplus \big(H^0(S) \otimes H^2(T^3)\big) \tag{40}$$

We determine the β-invariant part of $H^2(S \times T^3)$. Since β is an involution, the eigenvalues of β^* are at most -1 and 1. We mark the eigenspaces and the corresponding Betti numbers with a subscript 1 or -1. Since $H^0(S)$ and $H^0(T^3)$ are β-invariant and $H^1(S)$ is trivial, we have

$$H^2_1(S \times T^3) \cong H^2_1(S) \oplus H^2_1(T^3)\,. \tag{41}$$

If S is a smooth K3 surface, $H^2_1(S)$ is $\big(H^3 \oplus (-E_8)^1 \oplus (-E_8)^2\big) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ and $H^2_1(T^3)$ is spanned by dx^{12}. Therefore, we have $b^2_1(S \times T^3) = 19$. The same reasoning can be applied to γ. This time $H^2_1(S)$ is $\big(H^2 \oplus (-E_8)^1 \oplus (-E_8)^2\big) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ and $H^2_1(T^3)$ is spanned by dx^{13}. The H-invariant part of $H^2(S\times T^3)$ is the intersection of the β- and the γ-invariant part. $H^2(M)$ thus is isomorphic to $\big((-E_8)^1 \oplus (-E_8)^2\big) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ and we have $b^2(M) = 16$. If S has singularities, we have $b^2(M) = 16 - \operatorname{rank}(G)$ since $\operatorname{rank}(G)$ two-spheres collapse. If M is of the second kind, we obtain $b^2(M) = 16 - \operatorname{rank}(G)$ by analogous arguments. Our next step is to determine $b^3(M)$. Analogously as above we have

(42)
$$\begin{aligned} H^3(S\times T^3) &= \big(H^3(S)\otimes H^0(T^3)\big)\oplus\big(H^2(S)\otimes H^1(T^3)\big)\oplus\big(H^1(S)\otimes H^2(T^3)\big) \\ &\quad \oplus\big(H^0(S)\otimes H^3(T^3)\big) \\ H^3_1(S\times T^3) &\cong \{0\}\oplus\big(H^2_1(S)\otimes H^1_1(T^3)\big)\oplus\big(H^2_{-1}(S)\otimes H^1_{-1}(T^3)\big) \\ &\quad \oplus\{0\}\oplus H^3(T^3) \end{aligned}$$

where the eigenspaces are with respect to β^*. The non-trivial spaces in the above equation are

$$\begin{aligned} H^2_1(S) &= \big(H^3\oplus(-E_8)^1\oplus(-E_8)^2\big)\otimes\mathbb{R} \\ H^1_1(T^3) &= \mathrm{span}(dx^3) \\ H^2_{-1}(S) &= \big(H^1\oplus H^2\big)\otimes\mathbb{R} \\ H^1_{-1}(T^3) &= \mathrm{span}(dx^1,dx^2) \\ H^3(T^3) &= \mathrm{span}(dx^{123}) \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

If we consider γ^* instead of β^*, we have the same decomposition of $H^3_1(S\times T^3)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} H^2_1(S) &= \big(H^2\oplus(-E_8)^1\oplus(-E_8)^2\big)\otimes\mathbb{R} \\ H^1_1(T^3) &= \mathrm{span}(dx^2) \\ H^2_{-1}(S) &= \big(H^1\oplus H^3\big)\otimes\mathbb{R} \\ H^1_{-1}(T^3) &= \mathrm{span}(dx^1,dx^3) \\ H^3(T^3) &= \mathrm{span}(dx^{123}) \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

The intersection of the β- and the γ-invariant part of $H^3(S\times T^3)$ is spanned by dx^{123} and all forms of type $dx^i\wedge\alpha$ where $i\in\{1,2,3\}$ and $\alpha\in H^i$. Therefore, we have $b^3(M)=7$. As before, we also have $b^3(M)=7$ if M is of the second kind. The two-spheres that collapse in the singular case all have homology classes that are Poincaré dual to elements of $(-E_8)^1\oplus(-E_8)^2$. Therefore, the Betti number is the same in the smooth and in the singular case. Since there are no harmonic one-forms on the torus that are preserved by both generators of H, we have $b^1(N)=0$.

Finally, we determine the monodromy group of the singularities. The reason for the non-trivial monodromy of the examples from [1] is that the group Γ by which we divide $\mathbb{C}^2$ does not commute with H. Our situation is different since we do not obtain S by a quotient construction.

Let $p\in S$ be a singular point. We show that p is a fixed point of ρ_1 and ρ_2. Since the ρ_i are isometries, they have to map singular points to singular points of the same kind. ρ_i may map p to a singular point $q\neq p$ only if p and q are of the same kind. If we resolve the singularity at q, we obtain a

new isometry $\tilde{\rho}_i$ on the resolved K3 surface. $\tilde{\rho}_i$ maps a singular point to a smooth point which is impossible.

The singularity at p can be obtained by the contraction of certain curves whose cohomology classes d satisfy $d^2 = -2$. We have constructed S in such a way that any d is an element of $(-E_8)^2$ on which ρ_1 and ρ_2 act trivially. Therefore, ρ_1 and ρ_2 act trivially on the set of those curves in the resolved K3 surface, too. In the limit where the curves are contracted, a neighborhood of p becomes diffeomorphic to a neighborhood U of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$. In this limit, ρ_1 and ρ_2 commute with any element of Γ.

Let M be a G_2-orbifold of the first kind, $\pi : S \times T^3 \to M$ be the projection map, $p \in M$ be a singular point and $q \in S \times T^3$ be a point with $\pi(q) = p$. Moreover, let c_1 be a path from q to $\beta(q)$ and c_2 be a path from q to $\gamma(q)$. The monodromy group is generated by the monodromy along the loops $\pi \circ c_1$ and $\pi \circ c_2$. Since the projections of β and γ to S are ρ_1 and ρ_2, the monodromy along the loops is trivial. If M is of the second kind, we can apply analogous arguments and we thus have proven that the monodromy is trivial in both cases.

All in all, M-theory compactified on our orbifolds yields a four-dimensional field theory whose bosonic part contains a Yang-Mills theory with gauge group $U(1)^{16-\mathrm{rank}(G)} \times G$, where G is the group that corresponds to the singularities, and the graviton. Moreover, we suspect that there are $b^3(M) = 7$ complex scalar fields. It is an interesting coincidence that the maximal gauge group that we obtain by this method is $E_8 \times E_8$, which is one of the possible gauge groups of heterotic string theory.

References

[1] Acharya, B.S.: M-theory, Joyce orbifolds and super Yang-Mills. Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 3, No.2, 227-248, 1999.

[2] Acharya, B. S.: M-theory, G_2-manifolds and four dimensional physics. 2002 Spring School on Superstrings and Related Matters, 156, ICTP Lect. Notes, XIII, Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2003.

[3] Barth, W.; Hulek, K.; Peters, C.; van de Ven, A.: Compact complex surfaces. Second Enlarged Edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2004.

[4] Joyce, D.: Compact Manifolds with Special Holonomy. Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.

[5] Klein, F.: Vorlesungen über das Ikosaeder und die Auflösung der Gleichungen vom fünften Grade. Teubner, Leipzig, 1884. English Translation: Lectures on the icosahedron and the solution of equations of the fifth degree. Second and revised edition, Dover Publications Inc., New York, N.Y., 1956. Translated by George Gavin Morrice.

[6] Kronheimer, P. B.: The construction of ALE spaces as hyper-Kähler quotients. J. Differential Geom. 29, No. 3, 665 - 683, 1989.

[7] McKay, J.: Graphs, singularities, and finite groups. The Santa Cruz Conference on Finite Groups (Univ. California, Santa Cruz, 1979), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. 37, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1980, pp. 183 - 186.

[8] Halverson, J.; Morrison, D. R.: The landscape of M-theory compactifications on seven-manifolds with G_2 holonomy. J. High Energy Phys. 47, No. 4, 33 pp., 2015.
[9] Halverson, J.; Morrison, D. R.: On Gauge Enhancement and Singular Limits in G_2 Compactifications of M-theory. Preprint arXiv:1507.05965 [hep-th].